

ENTERED

February 01, 2018

David J. Bradley, Clerk

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

MARK JAMAL KEAREY, §
§
Plaintiff, §
VS. § CIVIL NO. 2:17-CV-00080
§
BRYAN COLLIER, *et al*, §
§
Defendants. §

ORDER

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt of Court with Sanctions. Dkt. No. 37.

In her Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R), the Magistrate Judge to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) concluded that Defendants have not acted in bad faith or otherwise willfully abused the judicial process through the delayed filing of their amended motion to dismiss. Dkt. No. 39 at 3. The deadline to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's proposed conclusions of law and recommendations has passed, and the docket sheet shows that no objections have been filed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (setting a 14-day deadline to file objections); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2) (same); *see also* Dkt. No. 39 at 4 (advising parties of the 14-day deadline).

After independently reviewing the record and considering the applicable law, the Court adopts the proposed M&R in its entirety. The Court hereby **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt of Court with Sanctions. Dkt. No. 37.

SIGNED this 31st day of January, 2018.



Hilda Tagle
Senior United States District Judge